

DAVID G. EMERY

(Name: Please Print)

10585 RIVER DR.

(Street Address)

FORESTVILLE CA 95436

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

David G. Emery

(Signature)

11-1-05

(Date)

MILDRED L. DORNAN  
(Name: Please Print)

2287 HARMON, GUERNEVILLE - Summer  
95446 - cabin  
(Street Address)

825 Clareme St  
Point Richmond CA 94801 - Home  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Mildred L. Dornan  
(Signature)

10-28-05  
(Date)

Mailing Address;  
P.O. Box 596  
Graton, CA 95444-0596

DOROTHY K. HIRSCH  
(Name: Please Print)

9091 Grey St.  
(Street Address)

Graton      95444  
(Town)                      (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Dorothy K. Hirsch      11/01/05  
(Signature)                      (Date)

Greg + Linda Rose  
(Name: Please Print)

1872 E. Napa St.  
(Street Address)

Sonoma 95476  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Greg + Linda Rose 10/27/05  
(Signature) (Date)

owner of  
sonoma- property

CANDIE MATTHEWSON

(Name: Please Print)

790 23rd Ave A

(Street Address)

SF 94121

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

① I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

② My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

③ I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

10-26-05  
(Date)

pls. - let's clean up Russian River  
for future generations!

Laurie Moore

(Name: Please Print)

7960 Martinelli Rd

(Street Address)

Forestville

(Town)

95436

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

J. Antomare  
(Signature)

11-5-05  
(Date)

Don & Betty Thoenke  
(Name: Please Print)

~~145~~ 15903 OLD CAJADERO RD  
(Street Address)

Guerneville CA 95446  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Betty Thoenke  
(Signature)

11/6/05  
(Date)

Donell J. Thoenke

11/6/05

Don Arine's  
(Name: Please Print)

1050 Arlington Way  
(Street Address)

Martinez CA. 94553  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Don Arine's  
(Signature)

11-7-05  
(Date)

FRED VON REINER

(Name: Please Print)

P. O. Box 2308

(Street Address)

GUERNEVILLE

(Town)

95446

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,



(Signature)

11/2/05

(Date)

ALICE DUDUM

(Name: Please Print)

150 SAN BENITO WAY

(Street Address)

S.F. CA. 94127

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Alice Dudum

(Signature)

10.28.05

(Date)

Mr. & Mrs William Robberding  
(Name: Please Print)

16656 WATSON RD.  
(Street Address)

GUERNEVILLE, CA 95446  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Mr. & Mrs. Wm. Robberding  
(Signature)

10/27/05  
(Date)

WANDA E. GREER  
(Name: Please Print)

454 AVALON DRIVE  
(Street Address)

SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Wanda E. Greer  
(Signature)

10/29/05  
(Date)

Rena J. Muddough  
(Name: Please Print)

143 Ave Napoica Unit A  
(Street Address)

Laguna Woods CA 92637  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Rena Muddough  
(Signature)

11-10-05  
(Date)

JANET McCracken

(Name: Please Print)

1819 PANTELA DR

(Street Address)

SANTA ROSA

(Town)

95404

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11/16/05  
(Date)

Kate Fenton

(Name: Please Print)

29001 Willow Creek Rd.

(Street Address)

Jenner 95450

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Kathleen A. Fenton

(Signature)

12/21/05

(Date)

S. Compass

(Name: Please Print)

15351 Willow Rd

(Street Address)

Rio Nido,

(Town)

95471

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,



(Signature)

12.19.05

(Date)

DIANE HEALY  
(Name: Please Print)

PO BOX 477  
(Street Address)

FORESTVILLE  
(Town)

95436  
(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Diane Healy  
(Signature)

12-15-05  
(Date)

John P Edgson

(Name: Please Print)

216-16th St

(Street Address)

Marathon Beach Ca 90266

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

John P Edgson

(Signature)

14 Nov 05

(Date)

ALAIN SERKISSIAN  
(Name: Please Print)

P.O. Box 1578  
(Street Address)

Forsville CA 95436  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11.1.05  
(Date)

EARL HEMMING

(Name: Please Print)

14488 OLD CAZ ROAD

(Street Address)

GUERNEVILLE CA 95446

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

NOV 15 - 05  
(Date)

Elspeth Benton

(Name: Please Print)

6015 Rick Dr.

(Street Address)

SR

(Town)

95409

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. ~~I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.~~

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two-worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Elspeth Benton  
(Signature)

11/16/05  
(Date)

Amy Southwick

(Name: Please Print)

225 Craftman Ct.

(Street Address)

Santa Rosa

(Town)

95405-3131

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Amy Southwick  
(Signature)

11-16-05  
(Date)

LEE A. PERRON

(Name: Please Print)

4702 Balsam St.

(Street Address)

Santa Rosa

(Town)

95404

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Lee A. Perron

(Signature)

10.30.05

(Date)

Robert F. Laurie  
(Name: Please Print)  
PO BOX 921  
MONTE RIO, Cal.  
(Street Address) 9546Z

---

(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Robert F. Laurie 10.26.2005  
(Signature) (Date)

Jesse Gilbert Ege  
(Name: Please Print)

20196 River Blvd P.O. Box 47  
(Street Address)

Monte Rio  
(Town)

95462  
(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Jesse Gilbert Ege  
(Signature)

10-28-05  
(Date)

LORRAINE BOMBEN

(Name: Please Print)

11 SAN FELIPE AVE

(Street Address)

SO. SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94080

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Bomben  
(Signature)

10/30/05  
(Date)

MICHAEL E. MURPHY  
(Name: Please Print)

162 DARTMOUTH ST  
(Street Address)

SAN FRANCISCO CA. 94134  
(Town) (Zip Code)

MONTE RIO CA 95462

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

m. e. murphy  
(Signature)

10-26-2005  
(Date)

William H. Nevin  
(Name: Please Print)

20350 River Blvd.  
(Street Address)

MONTE RIO 95462  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

William H. Nevin  
(Signature)

11-2-05  
(Date)

Virginia M. Hughes  
(Name: Please Print)

5950 Hughes Rd.  
(Street Address)

Forestville      95436  
(Town)                      (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Virginia M. Hughes      11/04/05  
(Signature)                      (Date)

NICOLAI YOUNG  
(Name: Please Print)

807 MARCH AVENUE  
(Street Address)

HEALDSBURG CA 95448  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Nicolai Young 10/31/05  
(Signature) (Date)

Clark Wither  
(Name: Please Print)

21493 Rio Vista Terrace  
(Street Address)

Monte Rio 95446  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Clark Wither  
(Signature)

11-1-05  
(Date)

**Charles Richard**  
**9692 Lakewood Drive**  
**Windsor, California 95492**

---

(Name: Please Print)

**Charles Richard**  
**9692 Lakewood Drive**  
**Windsor, California 95492**

---

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature)

11/5/05  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

Patricia Miriam Solem  
(Name: Please Print)

807 March St.  
(Street Address)

Healdsburg 95448  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Patricia Miriam Solem 10/31/05  
(Signature) (Date)

Tobin Durkee

(Name: Please Print)

6920 Covey Rd.

(Street Address)

Forestville

(Town)

95436

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Tobin A. Durkee

(Signature)

Nov 1, 05

(Date)

Ethel and Albert Koss

(Name: Please Print)

16320 1<sup>st</sup>

(Street Address)

Guerneville CA 95446

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Ethel Koss

(Signature)

10/26/05

(Date)

Owners - Riverlane Resort -  
Guerneville CA 95446

LEO SMITH

(Name: Please Print)

14950 FOOTHILL BLVD

(Street Address)

GUERNEVILLE 95446

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

(Signature)

Leo Smith

10/27/05  
(Date)

Sonoma Co. Parcel # 099-046-006-000

SYLVIA M. WALKER

(Name: Please Print)

700 STANFORD AVE

(Street Address)

MENLO PARK

(Town)

94025-6242

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Sylvia M. Walker

(Signature)

11-01-2005

(Date)

Eileen H. Powers  
(Name: Please Print)

20276 Hiway 116  
(Street Address)

MonTe Rio CA      95462  
(Town)                      (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Eileen H. Powers  
(Signature)

11/2/05  
(Date)

Margaret Elizares  
7501 Sunkist Drive  
Oakland, CA 94605-3022

(Name: Please Print)

(Street Address)

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Margaret Elizares  
(Signature)

11/2/05  
(Date)

P.S. My family and I have owned the property at 14181 Woodland Drive, <sup>Guerneville</sup> since 1949. I am very concerned about the degradation of water quality in the Russian River watershed over these decades. I beg you to stop this trend.  
ME

Elizabeth Young

(Name: Please Print)

807 March Ave.

(Street Address)

Healdsburg

(Town)

95448

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth P. Young

(Signature)

10/30/05

(Date)

Ethan Young  
(Name: Please Print)

807 March Ave  
(Street Address)

Healdsburg 95448  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Ethan Young  
(Signature)

10/30/05  
(Date)

Diane Young  
(Name: Please Print)

807 March Ave  
(Street Address)

Healdsburg 95448  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Diane Young  
(Signature)

10-31-05  
(Date)

Donna Bley  
(Name: Please Print)

1651 Norte Way  
(Street Address)

Santa Rosa CA 95404  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Donna Bley  
(Signature)

10/29/05  
(Date)

Edward & Esleen Copeland  
(Name: Please Print)

14969 Melody Ave  
(Street Address)

Guerneville, Ca 95446  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.


My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Edward & Esleen Copeland (Signature) 10/26/05 (Date)



Craig J Wilson,  
Chief of Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, Ca 95812-0100

Recycled Paper

95812-0100 

Mark R. Guenther

(Name: Please Print)

54 Aloha Ave

(Street Address)

San Francisco, CA 94122

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,




October 27, 2005

(Signature)

(Date)

Mark R. Guenther

Mark R. Guenther  
54 Aloha Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94122



Mr. Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812+0100



Georgene Small

(Name: Please Print)

407 Belvedere St.

(Street Address)

S.F.

(Town)

94117

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.


Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Georgene Small  
(Signature)

10/27/05  
(Date)

GEORGE L  
407 BELVEDERE ST.  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94117



95212-0100



Curt Coura le

(Name: Please Print)

18110 Old Monte Rio Rd

(Street Address)

Gonville, CA

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients. *more efforts*

Sincerely,

*to make our River cleaner, not less!*

*Thank you!*

Curtis M Coura le

(Signature)

10-27-05

(Date)

Curtis M. Coura le

18110 Old Monte Rio Rd  
Guerneville, CA



Craig J. Wilson  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA

95812+0100-00



Nancy Purcell  
(Name/Please Print)

100 Thorndale Dr. # 418  
(Street Address)

San Rafael Ca 94963  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Nancy J Purcell  
(Signature)

10-29-05  
(Date)



Ms. Nancy Purcell  
00 Thorndale Dr. Apt. 418  
San Rafael, CA 94903



Mr. Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control  
Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100

CHARLES WAGNER

(Name: Please Print)

15837 WILGHT DRIVE

(Street Address)

GEORGETOWN

(Town)

95446

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

Charles E. Wagner  
(Signature)

10-31-2005

(Date)

15837 WRIGHT DR.  
GUNNEVILLE, CA 95446



CRAIG WILSON, CHIEF  
WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT UNIT  
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY  
STATE WATER RESOURCES BOARD  
PO BOX 100  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95834

Barbara Gravem

(Name: Please Print)

37 Corte Morada

(Street Address)

Greenbrae CA. 94904

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

Barbara Gravem  
(Signature)

October 29, 2005

(Date)

B. Graven  
37 Corte Morada  
Greenbrae, CA 94904



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA. 95812-0100  
55812-0100



JAMES C CAMPBELL  
(Name: Please Print)

14299 SUNSET AVE  
(Street Address)

GUERNEVILLE 95446  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.


Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

10/29/05  
(Date)

CAMPBELL  
14298 SUNSET  
CA CA 95446



MR. CRAIG WILSON  
WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT  
DIV. OF WATER QUALITY  
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD  
P.O. BOX 100  
SACRAMENTO CA 95812-0100

JAMES L. MANCINI  
2554 McAllister Street  
San Francisco, CA 94118

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Name: Please Print)

JAMES L. MANCINI  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Street Address) 2554 McAllister Street  
San Francisco, CA 94118

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Town)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature)

10-27-05  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

JAMES L. MANCINI  
2554 McAllister Street  
San Francisco, CA 94118



Mr. Craig J. Wilson  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Bd.  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812+0100-00

KAY LAVIN

(Name: Please Print)

25560 FREEZEOUT Rd.

(Street Address)

DUNCANS MILLS

(Town)

95430

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Kay Lavin

(Signature)

10/31/05

(Date)

OAKLAND CA 946  
PM  
10 OCT 4 27 00.370  
UNITED STATES POSTAGE  
PB85-30956  
OCT 31 05  
8011 MAILED FROM BERKELEY, CA 94703

95212-0100



\_\_\_\_\_  
(Name: Please Print)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Street Address)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Town)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature)

10/29/05  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

JAMES T. LOVE

3280 Westside Rd

Healdsburg, CA

95448

3280 Westside Road  
Healdsburg, CA 95448



*Craig Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Water Quality Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, Ca*

95812-0100

ROBERT E. LARSON

(Name: Please Print)

5623 DUPONT DRIVE

(Street Address)

SANTA ROSA 95409

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Robert E. Larson  
(Signature)

10/28/05  
(Date)



95A12/0100



Eileen Bill

(Name: Please Print)

911 Wrigley St - A

(Street Address)

Santa Rosa 95404-3833

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Eileen Bill

(Signature)

Oct. 30 '05

(Date)



Ms. Eileen Bill  
 911 Wright Street #A  
 Santa Rosa, CA 95404-3833



Craig J. Wilson Chief  
 Water Quality Assessment Unit  
 Division of Water Quality  
 State Water Resources Control Board  
 PO Box 100  
 Sacramento CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



Gary Bodwin  
8180 Park Avenue  
Forestville, CA 95436  
October 31, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "*At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided.*" Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was this meeting publicized in Sonoma County?

I am a CreekKeeper volunteer and monitor Mark West Creek. I am aware of the poor water quality draining into this creek from the Laguna and then into the Russian River. The water quality in the Laguna is having a very negative impact on the water in the Russian River and on the steelhead and Coho salmon habitat in this watershed.


I am also concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading the fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
Gary Bodwin

Gary Bodwin  
8180 Park Ave.  
Forestville, CA  
95436



Mr. C.J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



Ruth Powers

(Name: Please Print)

11234 Terrace Dr.

(Street Address)

Forestville CA 95734

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Ruth Powers

(Signature)

10/30/05

(Date)

R. Powers  
11234 Terrace Dr  
Forestville, CA 95436-9367

35B12-0100-00



DANIEL COLEMAN  
(Name: Please Print)

2675 WARM SPRINGS Rd.  
(Street Address)

Golden Eddon, CA 95442  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?


I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Daniel Coleman 10-29-05  
(Signature) (Date)

Daniel Coleman  
2675 Warm Springs Rd  
Elon, N.C.  
27624



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100

5812/0102 Sacramento, CA 95812

John Hughes  
(Name: Please Print)

6095 Van Keppel Rd.  
(Street Address)

Forestville      95436  
(Town)                      (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?


I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

      10-30-05  
(Signature)                                      (Date)

JOHN L. HUGHES  
6095 Van Keppel Rd.  
FORESTVILLE, CA 95436



Graig J. Wilson  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento Ca. 95812-0100

95812/0100



ALLEN R. CHARLTON

(Name: Please Print)

① 21016 RIVER BLVD

② 419 GOLD MINE DR

(Street Address)

① MUNTE RIO 95462

② SAN FRANCISCO 94131

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Allen R. Charlton

(Signature)

10-29-05

(Date)



Mr. Allen Charlton  
419 Gold Mine Dr.  
San Francisco, CA 94131



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

55812-0100



STAN BUCK

(Name: Please Print)

P.O. BOX 766

(Street Address)

GUERNEVILLE CA. 95446

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,




(Signature)

10/30/05


(Date)

S. Buck  
Box 766  
95446



95812-0100





(Street Address)

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

*George E. Hillman (Mrs. Geo. E.)*  
(Signature)

10/26/05  
(Date)



**Mrs. George E. Hillman**  
511 B Street  
Colma, CA 94014-3139



95812-0100



Dear Sir,

Pardon our use of this "form letter" format, but be assured that it accurately reflects and expresses our concerns.

Schend & LaParne  
(Name: Please Print)

10507 Chalk Hill Road  
(Street Address)

Healdsburg, CA 95448  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus.

Inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

Luann Schend Philip LaParne  
(Signature)

10-29-05  
(Date)

**S** Ms. Luann Schend  
10507 Chalk Hill Rd.  
Healdsburg, CA 95448

RAY CA 95428  
PM  
21  
31 OCT  
2005



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100  
95812/0100



LEILANI THOMPSON

(Name: Please Print)

1733 CALAVARAS DR.

(Street Address)

SANTA ROSA CA 95405

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

10-31-05  
(Date)



Leilani Thompson  
1733 Calaveras Dr  
Santa Rosa CA 95405



Craig Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Noël Bouck  
3000 Joy Road  
Occidental, CA 95465  
Email: noelb@sonic.net

October 31, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems.


I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,



Noel Bouck  
3000 Joy Road  
Occidental, CA 95465



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812-0100-00



RALPH McLERAN

(Name: Please Print)

PO Box 415

(Street Address)

KENTFIELD, CA 94914

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,




(Signature)

1 NOV 05

(Date)

Ralph McLeran Properties, Inc.  
1169 Magnolia Ave.  
Larkspur, CA 94939



Craig J. Wilson, Chair  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812X0100-00



Charles & Lindsey Shere  
(Name: Please Print)

7500 Eastside Rd.  
(Street Address)

Healdsburg 95448  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,


Charles Shere  
Lindsay Shere

31 Oct 05

Oct 31, 2005  
(Date)

(Signature)

Shere  
7500 Eastside Rd.  
Healdsburg, 95448



Craig G. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, Calif. 95812-0100

95812-0100



(Name: Please Print)

(Street Address)

Anne Wurr  
603 Keller St.  
Petaluma, CA 94952-2807

(Zip Code)

3 / October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Anne Wurr

10/31/05

Anne Wurr  
603 Keller St.  
Petaluma, CA 94952-2807

(Date)

**Anne Wurr**  
603 Keller St.  
Petaluma, CA 94952-2807



Craig J Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
State Water Resources Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento CA 95812-0100

Paul Ritter, CPA

(Name: Please Print)

11702 OAK ROAD

(Street Address)

FOUNTSVILLE, CA . 95436

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.


Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

10/31/05  
(Date)

11702 OAK ROAD  
FORESTVILLE, CA. 95436



55212/0100



Carol I. Irvine

(Name: Please Print)

11250 Vellutini Rd.

(Street Address)

Forestville

(Town)

95436

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Sonoma, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,




(Signature)

10-31-05

(Date)

~~4/15/05~~  
C. Trumb  
11258 Vellutini Rd.  
Forestville, CA 95436



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

DURS KOENIG

(Name: Please Print)

7349 COVEY ROAD

(Street Address)

FORESTVILLE, CA 95436

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

OCT. 30, 2005

(Date)

Mr. A. Durs Koenig  
7349 Covey Road  
Forestville, CA 95436



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit, Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

10/30/05

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA.

I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for nitrogen and phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided."


Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue. How was this meeting publicized in Sonoma County?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading the fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged the situation could get much worse.

Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,





Tina Fields, Ph.D.  
260 Golden Ridge Ave  
Sebastopol, CA 95472  
(707) 824-9318



*J. Fields*  
**North Bay Campus**

**CULTURE, ECOLOGY + SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY**  
99 Sixth Street, Santa Rosa, California 95410



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Quality Control Board  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento CA 95812-0100

SENECA RISING

(Name: Please Print)

13040 Willow Rd

(Street Address)

RIO NIDO

(Town)

95471

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

(Signature)

Seneca Rising

(Date)

10/31/05



Environment

Seneca Rising  
PO Box 727  
Guerneville, CA 95446



Craig J Wilson, Chief  
WCAU  
Div. of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



BRANDT, EUGENE W. + GERALD, VEA  
(Name: Please Print)

1358 OAK View circle  
(Street Address)

ROBERT PARK      94928  
(Town)                      (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

Eugene W. Brandt  
(Signature)  
Geraldine A. Brandt

11-1-05  
(Date)

**B**

Ms. Geraldine Brandt  
1358 Oak View Cir Apt 169  
Rohnert Park, CA 94928



Craig J. Wilson Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, Ca

95812/0100



CHARLOTTE OSTROFE  
(Name: Please Print)

14296 SUNSET AVE  
(Street Address)

GUERNEVILLE, CA. 95446-9505  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Charlotte J. Ostrofe  
(Signature)

Oct. 28, 2005  
(Date)

Charlotte J. Castro  
14296 Sunset Lane  
Livermore, Ca.  
94546-9505



Craig J. Willow, Chief  
Water Quality  
Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
PO Box 100 Sac, Ca. 95812-0100

G. von Renner Kampff (owner)  
(Name: Please Print)

4860-9870-RIVER ROAD  
(Street Address)

FORESTVILLE CA.  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

TEL: 415-751-1889

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

G. von Renner Kampff  
(Signature)

Oct 31, 2005  
(Date)

MAKING ADDRESS - 4327-CABRILLO ST  
SAN FRANCISCO 94121

4327-CABRILLO ST.  
SF 94121



95212+0100



MARIE & HAROLD OLSON

(Name: Please Print)

P.O. BOX 2721

(Street Address)

GUERNEVILLE, CA

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.


Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11/4/05  
(Date)

Harold J. Olson



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assmt Unit, Water Quality  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Craig Cook

(Name, Please Print)

129 Sequoia Circle

(Street Address)

Santa Rosa

(Town)

95401

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. ~~Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.~~ *I do not need to be notified.*

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

Craig Cook  
(Signature)

Oct 30, 2005  
(Date)


Craig Cook  
129 Sequoia Cir  
Santa Rosa CA 95401-9174

NORTH BAY, CA 949  
PM  
28  
02 46V  
2005



Mr. Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento, Ca. 95812-0100

(Name: Please Print)



(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board<sup>6</sup>  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11/1/05  
(Date)



Daniel H. Morin  
P.O. Box 249  
Monte Rio, CA 95462-0249

---

(Name: Please Print)

---

(Street Address)

---

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

10/28/2005  
(Date)

Daniel H. Morin  
P.O. Box 249  
Monte Rio, CA 95462-0249



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



ELIZABETH CHRISTIE

(Name: Please Print)

8038 MONDO WAY

(Street Address)

GUERNEVILLE 95446

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,



(Signature)

11-1-05

(Date)



CRAIG J. WILSON  
WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT UNIT  
STATE WATER RESOURCES BD.  
PO BOX 100  
SACRAMENTO, CA  
95812-0100

95812/0100



Sydney Dodge  
(Name: Please Print)

684 Benic Dr, Apt 68  
(Street Address)

Santa Rosa CA 95808  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.


Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Sydney Dodge  
(Signature)

10-29-05  
(Date)

Sydney Dodge  
684 Benicia Dr., Apt 42  
Santo Rosa, CA 95409



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



Gus Beckert

(Name: Please Print)

15453 Riverside Dr.

(Street Address)

Guerneville CA 95446

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,



Gus Beckert

(Signature)

11/2/05

(Date)

Gus Beckert  
15453 Riverside Dr  
Guerneville CA 95446



0010+31425



Liz Reyna

(Name: Please Print)

7572 Blair Ave.

(Street Address)

Rohnert Park CA

(Town)

(Zip Code)

94928

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Liz Reyna

(Signature)

10/28/05

(Date)

Elizabeth Chapman-Reyna

7572 Blair Avenue

Rohnert Park, California 94928



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Bd  
P. O. Box 100

35812/0100 Sacramento CA 95812-0100

(Name: Please Print)



Raymond H. Peterson  
636 Gossage Ave.  
Petaluma, CA 94952

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,



(Signature)

10/26/05

(Date)



Mr. Raymond Peterson  
# 201  
636 Gossage Ave.  
Petaluma, CA 94952



95812/0100



Marylee Carli  
(Name: Please Print)

POB 327  
(Street Address)

Guerneville 95446  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,


(Signature)

Marylee Carli

(Date)

2 Nov. 05

MARYLEE J. CARLI  
P. O. BOX 327  
GUERNEVILLE, CA 95446



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Bd  
Sacramento, CA  
95812-0100

DAVID A. FAZZIO  
(Name: Please Print)

16760 Center St  
(Street Address)

Guerneville 95446  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

31 Oct 05  
(Date)

NORTH BAY CA 949  
PM  
03 NOV  
2005



Veronica Rosager

(Name: Please Print)

922 Skillman Lane

(Street Address)

Petaluma CA 94952

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Veronica Rosager

(Signature)

10/31/05

(Date)



State Water Resources Control  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento CA 95812 0100

Steven Kriske  
411 Studio Circle, #7  
San Mateo, CA 94401

---

(Name: Please Print)

---

(Street Address)

---

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,




(Signature)

11/2/05

(Date)

Steven Krise  
411 Studio Circle, #7  
San Mateo, CA 94401



33B12+0100-00



Mary P. Milton  
(Name: Please Print)

206 Ashbury Avenue  
(Street Address)

El Cerrito  
(Town)

CA 94530-  
(Zip Code) 4104

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Mary P. Milton  
(Signature)

11/6/05  
(Date)

MARY P. MILTON  
206 ASHBURY AVENUE  
EL CERRITO, CA 94530



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100

Sacramento, CA 95817-0100

MARCIA CAMACHO

(Name: Please Print)

16605 Bittner

(Street Address)

Occidental 95465

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

10/5-2005  
(Date)



Ms. Marcia H. Camacho  
16605 Bittner Road  
Occidental, CA 95465-9228



Seneca carving USA37

Craig Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assess. Unit  
State Water Resources Control Bd.  
POB 100  
Sacramento CA 95812-0100

2/0100



Marjorie Clark

(Name: Please Print)

P.O. Box 1067

(Street Address)

Occidental CA 95465

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?



I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Marjorie L. Clark  
(Signature)

11/4/05  
(Date)



Navajo weaving USA37

Craig J. Wilson  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95872-0100

95872/0100

KATHIE LOWREY

(Name: Please Print)

11054 BURKE AVE

(Street Address)

JENNER

(Town)

95450

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Kathie Lowrey

(Signature)

11/4/05

(Date)

**PRUNUSKE CHATHAM, INC.**

*ecological restoration • civil engineering • hydrology  
forestry • revegetation • erosion control*  
P.O. Box 828 • Occidental, CA 95465



Craig Wilson  
Water Qual Assessment Unit  
Div of Water Quality  
SUCRB  
PO BOX 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



CECI SMART

(Name: Please Print)

9550 RIO VISTA RD

(Street Address)

FORESTVILLE CA 95436

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first-hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

(Signature)

(Date)

10-30-05



Cecilia Smart  
9550 Rio Vista Rd  
Forestville, CA 95436-9506



CRAIG J WILSON  
CHIEF WATER QUALITY ASS. UNIT  
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY  
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

PO BOX 100

SACRAMENTO, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



JOY DERRY

(Name: Please Print)

8346 PARK AVE

(Street Address)

FORESTVILLE CA 95436

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,


(Signature)

Joy Derry

(Date)

11/2/05

JOY DERRY  
8346 Park Avenue  
Forestville, CA 95436



*Sacramento, CA 95812-0100*



JOHN DAVIDSON

(Name: Please Print)

16685 WEELEY RD

(Street Address)

GUERNEVILLE, CA 95446

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,




(Signature)

10/31/05

(Date)

 Mr. J. Davidson  
P.O. Box 1502  
Guerneville, CA 95446-1502



MR CRAIG WILSON, CHIEF  
WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT UNIT  
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY  
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD  
P.O. Box 100  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95812-0100



95812/0100

GARY W. GALLOWAY  
(Name: Please Print)

38 LUCKY DRIVE  
(Street Address)

GREENBRAE CA 94904-2407  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.


Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Gary W. Galloway  
(Signature)

11-3-05  
(Date)

Gary W. Galloway  
38 Lucky Drive  
Greenbrae, CA  
94904-2407



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento, Ca 95812-0100

95812/0100



LYNN NEWTON

(Name: Please Print)

PO Box 1039

(Street Address)

FORESTVILLE CA 95436

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,


  
(Signature)

10/31/05

(Date)



BOX 1039  
FORESTVILLE  
CA 95436



CRAIG WILSON  
WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT  
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY  
SWRLB  
PO BOX 100  
SACRO CA 95812

95812/0100



MACONDRAY J. STEIGER  
(Name: Please Print)

6629 COVEY ROAD  
(Street Address)

FORESTVILLE, CA 95436  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.


Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Macondray J. Steiger  
(Signature)

11/3/05  
(Date)

Mac Steiger  
6629 Covey Rd.  
Forestville, CA 95436



95812/0100



JIM TRANCHINA

(Name: Please Print)

7390 HIDDEN LAKE RD

(Street Address)

FORESTVILLE, CA 95436

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?


I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11/4/05  
(Date)



95213/0100



Arline Jones  
(Name: Please Print)

15935 Birkhofer Ct.  
(Street Address)

Guerneville                      95446  
(Town)                                      (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?


I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Arline Jones  
(Signature)

11-2-05  
(Date)



65212/0100



Walter Brunick

(Name: Please Print)

16672 Armstrong Woods Rd

(Street Address)

Guerneville

(Town)

95446

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11/3/05  
(Date)



Mr. Walter Brunick  
PO Box 80  
Jenner, CA 95450



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
PO. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA. 95812-0100

95812/0100



(Name: Please Print)



Farrell Winter  
229 Gilbert Dr.  
Santa Rosa, CA 95405-4746

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,


11.05.05

(Signature)

(Date)



Farrell Winter  
229 Gilbert Dr.  
Santa Rosa, CA 95405-4746



Craig J. Wilson  
WQAU-DWQ  
WRCB  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento 95812

95812/0100



GLORIA POTTER  
(Name: Please Print)

22-A CENTER ST.  
(Street Address)

SAN RAFAEL      94901  
(Town)                      (Zip Code)

[former resident of Sonoma County]  
October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

Gloria Potter  
(Signature)

11/5/05  
(Date)

P.S. I believe that what is done to affect the Russian R. eventually affects Marin Co. and my concern continues though I no longer reside in Sonoma Co. gp

G. Potter  
22-A Center St.  
San Rafael CA  
94901



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Div  
POB 100  
Sacramento CA  
95812-0100

95812/0100



Elizabeth Naegle

(Name: Please Print)

7365 Johnson Lane

(Street Address)

Forestville, Ca 95436

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Naegle

(Signature)

11-2-05

(Date)

Ms. Elizabeth Naegle  
7365 Johnson Ln.  
Forestville, CA 95436



Craig Wilson  
Water Quality Assessment  
Div. of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Bd  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, Ca  
95812-0100

95812/0100



**DON CARMAN CONSTRUCTION**

Lic. # 332483

10641 Canyon Road  
Forestville, CA 95436

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Name: Please Print)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Street Address)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Town)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature)

10/31/05  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

NAME



Ann Carman  
10641 Canyon Rd.  
Forestville, CA 95436-9214

STREET

CITY

STATE

ZIP CODE



ALWAYS  
USE ZIP



**IMPORTANT!**

Our address must show  
through this window.

Craig Wilson Chief  
Water Quality Assessment  
Dir. of Water Quality  
State Central Rd  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento CA 95812-0100

MERCY SIDMURRY

(Name: Please Print)

4998 Vine Hill Rd

(Street Address)

Sel. CO

(Town)

95472

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Mercy Sidmurry


(Signature)

11/2/05

(Date)



M. Sidbury  
4998 Vine Hill Rd.  
Sebastopol, CA 95472



95412/0100



TOBETHA FLASHER

(Name: Please Print)

1301 WALNUT ST

(Street Address)

BERKELEY CA 94709

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Tobetha Flasher

(Signature)

11/03/05

(Date)



**Tobetha Flasher**  
1301 Walnut St.  
Berkeley, CA 94709



*Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100*

95812-0100



GARY SERGIANNI

(Name: Please Print)

P.O. Box 1329 / 17500 SUMMIT AVE.

(Street Address)

GUERNEVILLE, CA 95446

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11/1/05  
(Date)

GARY SERGIANNI  
P.O. BOX 1329  
GUERNEVILLE, CA 95446



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

PATRICIA A. BUTTITTA  
(Name: Please Print)

1164 HEXEM AVENUE  
(Street Address)

SANTA ROSA, CA 95704  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.



Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

10/30/05  
(Date)

1164 Herlem Ave. Santa Rosa, CA 95309



Attn: Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

5812/0100



Richard Eliason

(Name: Please Print)

20395 Railroad Ave. (P.O. Box 396)

(Street Address)

Monte Rio CA 95462

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,



(Signature)

11/4/2005

(Date)



Mr. Rich Eliason  
PO Box 396  
Monte Rio, CA 95462



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



GAY BISHOP BRORSTROM  
9516 Graton Road  
Sebastopol, CA 95472

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Name: Please Print)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Street Address)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Town)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.


Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature)

11/7/05  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

GAY BISHOP BRORSTROM  
9516 Graton Road  
Sebastopol, CA 95472



Craig J. Wilson  
Water Quality Unit  
Div of Water Quality  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento, Ca. 95812-0100

95812/0100



Although this is a form letter, we sincerely agree with this writing.  
T.



Ms. Terry Matsik  
P.O. Box 159  
Monte Rio, CA 95462-0159

(St) \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Gary Getchell  
PO Box 159  
Monte Rio, CA 95462  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.


My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Terry Matsik 11.5.05  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature) (Date)  
Gary Getchell



Mr. Gary Lee Getchell  
Terry Lynne Matsik  
PO Box 159  
Monte Rio, CA 95462-0159



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



Katherine Ann Stroberger  
(Name: Please Print)

Box 1918  
(Street Address)

Santa Rosa      95402-1918  
(Town)                      (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

Katherine Ann Stroberger  
(Signature)

Nov. 5 2005  
(Date)

The Laguna de Santa Rosa is really in bad shape, and it needs all the help it can get! Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Box 1918  
Santa Rosa  
CA 95402



Craig D. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



BESS NIEMCENICZ

(Name: Please Print)

120 REDWOOD ROAD

(Street Address)

SAN ANSELMO, CA 94960

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation ~~could~~ <sup>will</sup> get much worse!!

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11/8/05  
(Date)

(I own property on the  
RUSSIAN RIVER IN  
SONOMA COUNTY)  
MADE MORE DIFFICULT TO BE  
INFORMED SINCE I WAS 1/2 YEAR  
IN MARIJ.

R. NIEMCEWICZ  
120 REDWOOD RD.  
SAN ANSELMO, CA 94960




33&12/0100



707-865-1184

(Name: Please Print)



20355 Foothill Dr

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

(Signature)



*Joseph J. Long Jr.*

(Date)

11-2-05

**UNOCAL** 76

 Joseph J. Long  
P.O. Box 334  
Monte Rio, CA 95462  
MOTORCYCLE HALL OF FAME MUSEUM SUPPORTER



*Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality ass. Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. BOX 100  
Sacramento, Ca.  
95812-0100*

MADELEINE S. BERKE  
(Name: Please Print)

20222 Alder Rd.  
(Street Address)

MONTE RIO 95462  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

Madeline Berke 11/6/05  
(Signature) (Date)

Stan Fontana 11/6/05

Ms. Madeleine S. Berke  
PO Box 311  
Monte Rio CA 95462-0311

OAKLAND CA 946  
PM  
07 NOV  
2005



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, Ca. 95812-0100

95812+0100



W. COUGHLIN

(Name: Please Print)

628 El Cameno Del Mar

(Street Address)

San Francisco Ca 94121

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11/6/05  
(Date)



Ms. Beverly Coughlin  
 828 El Camino Del Mar  
 San Francisco, CA 94121-1018



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
 Water Quality Assessment Unit  
 Division of Water Quality/State Water Resources Control Bd  
 P.O. Box 100

94121+0100 Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Jo Ann Jackson-Holt  
(Name: Please Print)

1520 Archer Drive  
(Street Address)

Woodland, CA, 95695  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.



My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jo Ann L. Jackson-Holt 11-3-05  
(Signature) (Date)



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. BOX 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

55212/0100

Bruce St. John Maher  
P.O. Box 508  
Monte Rio, CA 95462  
707-865-0910

---

(Name: Please Print)

Bruce St. John Maher  
P.O. Box 508

---

(Street Address) Monte Rio, CA 95462  
707-865-0910

---

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11/2/05  
(Date)



Bruce S. Maher  
PO Box 508  
Monte Rio CA 95462-0508



Craig Wilson Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Div. of Water Quality  
State Resources Bd  
PO Box 100  
Sacto. CA 95812-0100

Celeste Felciano

(Name: Please Print)

2001 Adobe Canyon Rd.

(Street Address)

Kenwood

(Town)

95442

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,


C. Felciano

(Signature)

11-5-05

(Date)

box 863  
95442



Craig Wilson  
State Water Resources Bd.  
box 100  
Sacramento, Ca.  
95812

95812/95933



MARY L. WRIGHT  
(Name: Please Print)

7777 BODEGA AVE P-11  
(Street Address)

SEBASTOPOL CA 95472  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Wright  
(Signature)

Nov. 3, 2005  
(Date)

Mary L. Wright  
777 Bodega Ave. # P11  
Sebastopol, CA 95472



CRAIG J. WILSON, CHIEF  
WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT UNIT  
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY  
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD  
P.O. BOX 100  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95812-0100

95812-0100-00



---

(Name: Please Print)



Barbara J. Russell  
16559 Neeley Rd.  
Guerneville, CA 95446

---

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Barbara J. Russell". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above a horizontal line.  
(Signature)

11-6-05  
(Date)



Barbara J. Russell  
16559 Neeley Rd.  
Guerneville, CA 95446



Braig J. Wilson Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



WILLIAM WINTERS

(Name: Please Print)

17848 Orchard St.

(Street Address)

GREYHOUNDVILLE

(Town)

95446

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

William Winters


(Signature)

11-7-05

(Date)

SAN DIEGO CA 921

07 NOV 05 PM 9 T



MR. CRAIG J. WILSON, CHIEF  
WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT UNIT  
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY  
STATE WATER RESOURCES BOARD  
P.O. BOX 100  
SACRAMENTO, CA. 95812-0100

95812+0100



APRIL HEEMER

(Name: Please Print)

10257 SCENIC DR.

(Street Address)

FORESTVILLE CA 95436

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

April Heemer

(Signature)

11-3-05

(Date)



April Heemer  
10257 Scenic Dr.  
Forestville, CA 95436



CRAIG J. WILSON, CHIEF WATER QUALITY ASSES, UNIT  
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY  
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD  
P.O. Box 100  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95812-0100

55812/0100



Pat Sherman

(Name: Please Print)

2952 Bandy Rd

(Street Address)

Santa Rosa Ca 95404

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Pat Sherman


(Signature)

11/06/05

(Date)



Ms. Patricia Sherman  
2952 Bardy Rd  
Santa Rosa, CA 95404



Craig J Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of water Quality  
State water Resources Control Board  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento, ca 95812-0100

95812/0100



DREW ROBERTS

(Name: Please Print)

11907 HILLCREST

(Street Address)

~~50~~ FORESTVILLE, CA 95436

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,



(Signature)

10/30/05

(Date)

P.S. WE HAVE ~~BEEN~~ BEEN A HOME OWNER SINCE THE 1930'S. OUR FAMILY OF FOUR GENERATIONS SWIM IN THE RIVER PLEASE LET'S KEEP IT CLEAN.

Mr. and Mrs. Drew L. Roberts  
5678 Margarido Drive  
Oakland, CA 94618



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812+0100



WAYNE D. GIBB

(Name: Please Print)

8690 TRENTON RD

(Street Address)

FORESTVILLE 95436

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Wayne D. Gibb

(Signature)

11/7/05

(Date)



Wayne D. Gibb  
8690 Trenton Rd.  
Forestville, CA 95436



Mr. Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT UNIT  
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY  
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD  
P.O. Box 100

SACRAMENTO, CA 95833-0100

Bruce Arzvin

(Name: Please Print)

Adlantis Advertising

(Street Address) Dr. Ste. B  
Morgan Hill, CA 95037

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

(Signature)

(Date)

Nov 8 05

Adlantis Advertising  
18325 Crystal Dr. Ste. B  
Morgan Hill, CA 95037



CRAIG J. WILSON CHIEF  
WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT UNIT  
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY  
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD  
P. O. Box 100  
SACRAMENTO, CAL 95812-0100

33212/0100



RICK COATES

(Name: Please Print)

P.O. Box 195

(Street Address)

CAZADERO CA 95421

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Rick Coates

(Signature)


11/7/05

(Date)

Forest Unlimited

Forest  
Unlimited

P. O Box 195  
Cazadero, CA 95421



CRAIG J. WILSON, CHIEF  
WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT UNIT  
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY  
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD  
P.O. BOX 100  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95812-0100

BRUCE M. STEPHEN  
(Name: Please Print)

326 TWIN LAKES DR  
(Street Address)

SANTA ROSA      95409  
(Town)                      (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

Bruce M. Stephen  
(Signature)

November 2, 2005  
(Date)

S

Mr. Bruce Stephen  
326 Twin Lakes Dr  
Santa Rosa, CA 95409



CRAIG J. WILSON, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
SACRAMENTO CA 95812-0100

Katinka G Mendel  
(Name: Please Print)

21900 Russian River Ave  
(Street Address)

Villa Grande CA 94924  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Katinka G. Mendel  
(Signature)

11/6/05  
(Date)



95812/0100



Carol Sklenicka & R. Ryan  
(Name: Please Print) PO Box

9526 Goat Hill Rd. 132  
(Street Address)

Duncans Mills  
Jenner CA 95430  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Carol Sklenicka  
R. M. Ryan  
(Signature)

Nov. 2, 2005  
11-2-05  
(Date)

Ryan / Allenicha  
PO Box 132  
Duncans Mills  
CA 95430



Sacramento CA 95812-0100

Jeffery Orth

(Name: Please Print)

135 Marigold Ln.

(Street Address)

Forestville CA 95436

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,


Jeffery Orth

(Signature)

Nov. 4 2005

(Date)

J. Orth  
135 Margold Ln.  
Forestville, CA 95436



Craig Wilson, Chief  
Water Qual. Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Contr. Bd.

PO Box 100   
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

H. Caton

(Name: Please Print)

1400 Glen Cyn. Rd

(Street Address)

Santa Cruz, CA 95060

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Harold H. Caton

(Signature)

11. 2. 05

(Date)



9512/0100



Larry Hanson  
10448 Scenic Drive  
Forestville CA 95436  
October 31, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION to the FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

It is apparent to anyone who has looked at the Laguna that it is impacted with an over abundance of nutrients that would mostly come from agriculture run-off or wastewater treatment plants. The fact that your stated reason for delisting (that there is not sufficient data) is simply a case of sidestepping the issue. You need to direct your agency to test the Laguna and compare these tests with other independent monitoring data taken there (such as at CCWI in Sebastopol).


The infestation of Ludwigia is a strong indication of nutrient load, such as with an abundance of nitrogen and/or phosphorus. Over a million dollars was budgeted to rid areas of the Laguna of this plant, mainly due to a possible outbreak of Niles virus carried by a mosquito that resides within the plant.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
Larry Hanson

Larry Hanson  
Kimberly Burr  
10448 Scenic Drive  
Forestville, CA 95436



Craig Wilson  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Bd.  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100

LARRY &

SUSAN WATSON  
(Name: Please Print)

17480 WILLOW CREEK RD  
(Street Address)

OCCIDENTAL CA 95465  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)  


10/31/05  
(Date)

**BUSTELO'S Backyard**

P.O. BOX 231, OCCIDENTAL, CA 95465



CRAIG J WILSON CHIEF  
WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT UNIT  
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY  
STATE WATER RESOURCE CONTROL  
BOARD  
P. O. BOX  
SACRAMENTO CA 95812

Douglas Wheatley  
10443 Woodside Pr.  
Forestville, CA 95436  
October 31, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.


My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.


Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

  
(Date)

D. Wheatley  
10443 Woodside Dr.  
Forestville, CA 95436



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



PAUL BLANK

(Name: Please Print)

8690 Graton Rd.

(Street Address)

Sebastopol

(Town)

95472

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

(Signature)


Paul Blank

(Date)

11/1/05



Mr. Paul Blank  
8690 Graton Rd  
Sebastopol, CA 95472



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

MARCENE E. BAY  
(Name: Please Print)

3 MOSSWOOD CIRCLE  
(Street Address)

CAZADENO      95421  
(Town)                      (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,



Marcene E. Bay  
(Signature)

11/2/05  
(Date)

Wildlands Studies

3 Mosswood Circle, Cazadero, CA 95421

UCSB  
Extended  
Learning  
Services



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Shannen Jensen  
(Name: Please Print)

2555 Mark West Station  
(Street Address)

Windsor CA 95492  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11/1/05  
(Date)

TEACH  
 TOLERANCE  
Ms. Shannan Johnson  
2888 Mark West Station Rd  
Windsor, CA 96492

NORTH BAY CA 949  
PM  
2 NOV  
2005



Craig Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Craig -

These are  
originals which  
should go in  
with others.

Benda

SARAH ORE

(Name: Please Print)

500 CEDAR HILL DR.

(Street Address)

SAN RAFAEL CA. 94903

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Sarah L. Ore  
(Signature)

11-2-05  
(Date)

KENNETH WILDNAUER

(Name: Please Print)

2478 MURDOCK DR.

(Street Address)

SANTA ROSA CA 95104

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Kenneth R. Wildnauer  
(Signature)

12/20/05  
(Date)

MR. TERRY WALLING  
(Name: Please Print)

229 EL PRADO AVE.  
(Street Address)

SAN RAFAEL      94903  
(Town)                      (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Terry Walling  
(Signature)

12/28/05  
(Date)

ELLEN RIMBOFF

(Name: Please Print)

11015 SUNSET AVE

(Street Address)

FORESTVILLE, CA

(Town)

(Zip Code)

August, 2005

Catherine Kuhlman: Executive Officer  
North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board  
5550 Skylane Blvd. Ste. A  
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Dear Ms. Kuhlman:


I am a supporter of Russian River Watershed Protection Committee and an advocate of strong water quality measures, especially for the Russian River. I urge you to carefully consider my views on the two issues below and convey my concerns directly to your Board. Also, please put me on your mailing list to receive future notices on these issues.

First, I urge you and the Regional Board to NOT support a change in the Basin Plan to allow incidental irrigation runoff. While I support appropriate agricultural and large landscape irrigation, which can be effectively regulated, I am particularly concerned that incremental runoff from small residential parcels will cause huge cumulative impacts during summer low flow conditions.

I am concerned about health impacts to those of us who enjoy recreating in the lower Russian River, both from direct contact with possible pathogens, as well as contact with disease causing mosquitoes that feed on the exotic plants growing rampantly in nutrient rich conditions. I fear that both the chemicals remaining in the wastewater after treatment, and the chemicals and nutrients washed from the land into the waterways through runoff, will be harmful to my family, my friends, and myself. I urge you to leave the summer discharge prohibition in the Basin Plan as it exists now.

Secondly, I urge you and the Board to not turn the Russian River County Sanitation District into a regional system serving Occidental, Camp Meeker, and Monte Rio, as well as hundreds of other parcels on septic in and near the flood plain. The system does not function properly in high flow conditions and the costs of bringing it into compliance at all times will be prohibitive. We much prefer local land based community septic systems and septic management districts. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

9/16/05  
(Date)

Loretta Wilson

(Name: Please Print)

2334 E Westcott

(Street Address)

Visalia CA

(Town)

93292

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Loretta Wilson

(Signature)

11-30-05

(Date)

KENNETH WILSONAUCR

(Name: Please Print)

2478 MURDOCK DR.

(Street Address)

SANTA ROSA CA 95404

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

12/20/05  
(Date)

MR. TERRY WALLING  
(Name: Please Print)

229 EL PRADO AVE.  
(Street Address)

SAN RAFAEL      94903  
(Town)                      (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Terry Walling  
(Signature)

12/28/05  
(Date)

Loretta Wilson

(Name: Please Print)

2334 E Westcott

(Street Address)

Visalia CA 93292

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Loretta Wilson

(Signature)

11-30-05

(Date)

SARAH ORE

(Name: Please Print)

506 CEDAR HILL DR.

(Street Address)

SAN RAFAEL CA. 94903

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Sarah L. Ore  
(Signature)

11-2-05  
(Date)

ELLEN R. MOSEK

(Name: Please Print)

11015 SUNSET AVE

(Street Address)

FORESTVILLE, CA

(Town)

(Zip Code)

August, 2005

Catherine Kuhlman: Executive Officer  
North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board  
5550 Skylane Blvd. Ste. A  
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Dear Ms. Kuhlman:


I am a supporter of Russian River Watershed Protection Committee and an advocate of strong water quality measures, especially for the Russian River. I urge you to carefully consider my views on the two issues below and convey my concerns directly to your Board. Also, please put me on your mailing list to receive future notices on these issues.

First, I urge you and the Regional Board to NOT support a change in the Basin Plan to allow incidental irrigation runoff. While I support appropriate agricultural and large landscape irrigation, which can be effectively regulated, I am particularly concerned that incremental runoff from small residential parcels will cause huge cumulative impacts during summer low flow conditions.

I am concerned about health impacts to those of us who enjoy recreating in the lower Russian River, both from direct contact with possible pathogens, as well as contact with disease causing mosquitoes that feed on the exotic plants growing rampantly in nutrient rich conditions. I fear that both the chemicals remaining in the wastewater after treatment, and the chemicals and nutrients washed from the land into the waterways through runoff, will be harmful to my family, my friends, and myself. I urge you to leave the summer discharge prohibition in the Basin Plan as it exists now.

Secondly, I urge you and the Board to not turn the Russian River County Sanitation District into a regional system serving Occidental, Camp Meeker, and Monte Rio, as well as hundreds of other parcels on septic in and near the flood plain. The system does not function properly in high flow conditions and the costs of bringing it into compliance at all times will be prohibitive. We much prefer local land based community septic systems and septic management districts. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

9/16/05  
(Date)

MICHAEL GERVAIS

(Name: Please Print)

17969 SWEETWATER SP. RD.

(Street Address)

GUERNEVILLE

(Town)

95446

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,


Michael Gervais

(Signature)

10-26-05

(Date)

SAN JOSE, CA 951  
PM  
13 OCT  
2005



AHWA DOMINGKI

(Name: Please Print)

15885 Knight Dr.

(Street Address)

Guerneville, CA 95496

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,


(Signature)

(Date)

Come on! — please help!  
Sacramento is too far to travel  
since it costs 19 \$\$\$!

D

Ahna Dominski  
PO Box 880952  
San Francisco, CA 94188



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. BOX 100  
Sacramento, CA



Nichae Blum Patton  
(Name: Please Print)

P.O. Box 47  
(Street Address)

Guerneville, CA 95446  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.



Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Nichae Blum Patton



Nichae Blume  
PO Box 47  
Guerneville, CA 95446



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



Andrea D. Kaufman  
(Name: Please Print)

14529 Redwood Lane  
(Street Address)

Guerneville CA 95446  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,


Andrea D. Kaufman  
(Signature)

10/26/05  
(Date)



Ms. Andrea Kaufman  
14529 Redwood Ln  
Guerneville CA 95446-9662

[www.marinemammalcenter.org](http://www.marinemammalcenter.org)



Mr Craig Wilson  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



Marylee and Jack Fithian  
(Name: Please Print)

17727 Orchard Avenue  
(Street Address)

Guerneville CA 95446  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.


Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Marylee Fithian Jack Fithian  
(Signature) (Date) 10-25-05



Marylee Fithian  
17727 Orchard Ave.  
Guerneville, CA 95446



ALWAYS  
USE ZIP



ROBERT & CLARA AHLBACH  
(Name: Please Print)

14766 CANYON 4  
(Street Address)

RIO VIDO, CA 95471  
(Town) (Zip Code)

MAILING ADDR  
33 WAKEFIELD  
DALY CITY, CA  
94015

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Clara A Ahlbach  
Robert F Ahlbach

(Signature)


10/29/05

10/29/05

(Date)



Mr. Robert F. Ahlbach  
33 Wakefield Ave.  
Daly City, CA 94015



95A12-0100 

DUSAN RICHTER  
(Name: Please Print)

2613 SPRING CREEK DRIVE  
(Street Address)

SANTA ROSA CA 95405  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Dusan Richter

(Signature)


10/29/05

(Date)

Susan Richter  
P.O. Box 9466  
Santa Rosa, CA 95405



CRIG J. WILSON CHIEF  
WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT UNIT  
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY  
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD  
P.O. BOX 100  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100 

MARTIN SCHERER

(Name: Please Print)

5101 MCFARLANE RA.

(Street Address)

SEBASTOPOL CA. 95472

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.



Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

10-27-05  
(Date)



Mr Martin Scherer  
5101 McFarland Rd  
Sebastopol, CA 95472-5712



95472/0100 A standard postal barcode consisting of vertical bars of varying heights.

**Victoria Wikle**  
21905 Russian River Avenue  
P.O. Box 151  
Villa Grande, CA 95486

---

(707) 865-2474  
<VictoriaWikle@usa.net>

Oct. 26, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

Regarding: NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA.

I recommend that exotic invasive species be included in the listing for segments of the Russian River Watershed, specifically Ludwigia be added to the list for the Laguna de Santa Rosa and the main stem. The Ludwigia problem is growing downstream in the main stem of the river as well. Each year new patches form. Each year the patches grow. This must be done to stop the river from choking on Ludwigia.

Arundo donax, an amazing 30 foot tall grass and fire hazard, is increasingly evident up and down the river. Also there is a huge problem with Pepperweed in the upper watershed. Something bad has happened to the chemistry of the water so that it now encourages growth of various noxious weeds.

The river is the recipient of huge amounts of treated wastewater. This must be stopped because nutrients in wastewater contribute to the problems. Places in the watershed have concentrations of nitrogen and phosphorus that are contributing to the noxious weed problems. I recommend that you continue to list the river and its tributaries for nitrogen and phosphorus. We are losing our native plants and habitats for wildlife because of conversion to weeds.

Please forward my letter and concerns to the appropriate people and keep me informed about this issue.

Yours truly,

*Victoria Wikle*



*Victoria Wikle*  
PO Box 151  
Villa Grande, California 95486



*Mr. Craig J. Wilson, Chief*  
*Water Quality Assessment Unit*  
*SWRCB*  
*PO Box 100*  
*Sacramento*  
*CA 95810-0100*

95812/0100



October 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has, in effect, deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December concerning this issue. How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently, at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

*David A. Minium*

October 28, 2005

David A. Minium  
964 Evergreen CT  
Sebastopol, CA 95472

David Minium  
964 Evergreen CT  
Sebastopol, CA 95472-4527



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



Anne Gruzlis  
(Name: Please Print)

17507 Neeley Rd  
(Street Address)

Guerneville, CA  
(Town) (Zip Code) 95446

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

Anne Gruzlis  
(Signature)

10-25-05  
(Date)



Anne Grauzlis  
1252 Po Box  
Guerneville, CA 95446-1252



95A12/0100



Linda-Curk

(Name: Please Print)

14920 Willow Rd

(Street Address)

Rio Nido CA 95471

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,




(Signature)

10-27-05

(Date)



Linda Cirk  
P.O. Box 2606  
Guerneville, CA 95446



Craig J Wilsony Chief, Unit  
Water Quality Assessment  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Board  
PO BOX 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

EUGENE L. MEADE.

(Name: Please Print)

WALDESLUST, 16000 FERN WAY

(Street Address)

GUERNEVILLE

(Town)

95446-9322

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,




(Signature)

25 OCT 2005

(Date)

MEADE

95446-9322



CRAIG J WILSON, CHIEF  
WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT UNIT  
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY  
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD  
PO BOX 100  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



NANCY RUTHERFORD

(Name: Please Print)

Po Box 883

(Street Address)

Bueneville, CA 95446

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Nancy Rutherford  
(Signature)

10-28-05

(Date)

NANCY H. RUTHERFORD  
P.O. BOX 883  
GUERRAVILLE, CA 95446

Ave.  
705



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Name: Please Print)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Kevin Garry  
15896 Wright Dr.  
Guerneville, CA 95446

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Town)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?


I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature)


\_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)



10/23/05



Kevin Garry  
15896 Wright Dr.  
Guerneville, CA, 95446



ALLAN NELSON

(Name: Please Print)

20790 RIVER BLVD

(Street Address)

(mailing address: P.O. Box 175)

MONTICELLO CA 95462

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,




(Signature)

10/28/05

(Date)



Mr. Allan Nelson  
P.O. Box 175  
Monte Rio, CA 95462-0175



33412/0100



LAWRENCE A WILCOX  
(Name: Please Print)

17564 Summit Ave  
(Street Address)

GOERNEVILLE, CA 95446  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

10/27/05  
(Date)

NORTH BAY CA 949  
PM  
27 OCT  
2005



ANNA GREEN  
(Name: Please Print)

5560 Thermal Road  
(Street Address)

Schenectady, NY 12302  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.


Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Anna L. Green  
(Signature)

October 29, 2005  
(Date)

Anna Green  
5560 Thomas Road  
Sebastopol, CA 95472



Mr. Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Quality Board

Sebastopol, CA 95612-0120

JANE E. NIELSON

3727 BURNSIDE ROAD, SEBASTOPOL, CA 95472

October 27, 2005

Mr. Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the de-listing of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus nutrients. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter.

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying so fast that environmental protections are being cast aside for a very controversial chemical eradication program, at a cost of \$1.5 million, without preliminary studies of the possible impacts on fish and other sensitive wildlife species. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, but if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

I understand that the only hearing for public input on this issue is in Sacramento, even though the people most affected are in Sonoma County. I feel that eliminating a Regional Board hearing in Santa Rosa deprives many Sonoma County residents of an opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. I know people who were overcome by immense discharges from dairies this past summer, when monitoring the first Ludwigia spraying.

Thank you for your consideration. I would like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible. Please do not de-list the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,



Jane E. Nielson, Ph.D.  
3727 Burnside Road  
Sebastopol, CA 95472

J.E. Nielson

3727 BURNSIDE ROAD  
SEBASTOPOL, CA 95472



Mr. Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



THOMAS H. ANDERSON

(Name: Please Print)

14477 SOUTHERN AVE

(Street Address)

GUERNEVILLE

(Town)

95446

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,


Thomas H. Anderson

(Signature)

10-27-05

(Date)

Tom Anderson  
14477 Southern Avenue  
Guerneville, CA 95446



CRAIG J. WILSON, CHIEF  
WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT UNIT  
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY  
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD  
P.O. Box 100  
SACRAMENTO, CA. 95812-0100

95812/0100



DR EDWARD SCHWARTZMAN  
(Name: Please Print)

16328 1<sup>st</sup> St.  
(Street Address)

Guemilly, Ca 95446  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

10-27-05  
(Date)

**GUERNEVILLE VETERINARY CLINIC**

Edward Schwartzman, V.M.D.  
P. O. Box 1417 • Guerneville, CA 95446



Graig Wilson  
State Water Control Board  
PO # 100  
SAC. CA 95812-0100

35812/0100



ROBERT E. GOODWIN  
(Name: Please Print)

2465 HALLMARK DR.  
(Street Address)

BELMONT, CA 94002  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,




(Signature)

10/27/05  
(Date)

Robert E. Goodwin  
2465 Hallmark Drive  
Belmont, CA 94002-2907


MAILING  
ADDRESS

Robert E. Goodwin  
2465 Hallmark Drive  
Berkeley, CA 94002-2907



CRAIG J. MINSON, CHIEF  
WATER QUANTITY ASSESSMENT UNIT  
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY  
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD  
P. O. BOX 100  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95812-0100

95812-0100



Beth Martinez

3329 Claremont Ct

Santa Rosa

95405

541

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Beth Martinez 3329 Claremont Ct  
Santa Rosa Ca  
95405

(5) 

---

Beth Martinez

3329 Claremont Ct

(541)

---

Santa Rosa

95405

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.


My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Beth Martinez 3329 Claremont Ct  
Santa Rosa Ca  
95405


MARTINEZ  
BROOKSIDE EAST APARTMENTS  
3329 CLAREMONT COURT  
SANTA ROSA, CA 95405



Craig Wilson  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
Box 100  
Sacramento CA 95832

95812/0100

544



Joyce L. Bowen  
5555 Montgomery Drive, C-207  
Santa Rosa, CA 95409  
October 23, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Joyce L Bowen  
(Signature)

10-23-05  
(Date)

5555 Montgomery Dr., C-207  
Santa Rosa, CA 95409



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812-0100-00



James T. Burke  
Burke Real Estate  
617 Monterey Blvd.  
San Francisco, CA 94127-2346

---

(Name: Please Print)

---

(Street Address)

---

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?


I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

10/26/05  
(Date)



SAN FRANCISCO CA 94108  
PM  
25 OCT  
2005



JANA TOUTOLMIN

(Name: Please Print)

14991 MELODY AVE

(Street Address)

Guerneville, CA 95446

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.


My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

(Signature)



(Date)

11/18/05

Tontolmin  
14991 melody Ave  
Grille Ca 95446



Craig Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
P.O. Box 100  
Searanento, Ca 95812 -

0100

95812#0100



Denise Cadman

(Name: Please Print)

5743 Occidental Rd

(Street Address)

Santa Rosa 95401

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Denise Cadman

(Signature)

11-15-05

(Date)

Ms. Denise M. Cadinan  
5743 Occidental Rd.  
Santa Rosa, CA 95401-5532



Craig Wilson  
State Water Resources Control Board  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento, CA  
95812-0100

95812/0100



DIANE GALIARDI  
(Name: Please Print)

10675 Canyon Rd.  
(Street Address)

Forestville, CA 95436  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Diane Galiardi  
(Signature)

11/20/05  
(Date)



**THE OCEAN CONSERVANCY**  
ADVOCATES FOR WILD, HEALTHY OCEANS

Diane Galiardi  
10675 Canyon Rd  
Forestville CA 95436-9214



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
Po Box 100

95812/0100

Sacramento, CA 95812-0100



Day  
10443 Woodside Dr.  
Forestville CA 95436

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11/21/05  
(Date)

J. Day  
10443 Woodside Dr.  
Forestville CA 95736



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812+0100



Robert J Ravano  
(Name: Please Print)

15340 W. Willow Rd  
(Street Address)

Rio Nido CA 94541  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Robert J Ravano 11/20/05  
(Signature) (Date)



**Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co., LLP**  
Certified Public Accountants & Consultants



Craig Wilson  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Board  
P O Box 100  
Sacramento CA 95812-0100

VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

95812+0100

GRETCHEN Boyer  
(Name: Please Print)

15208 Canyon Six Rd  
(Street Address)

Rio Nido, CA 95471  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.


Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Gretchen Boyer  
(Signature)

(Date)

G. Boyer  
P.O. Box 337  
Rio Nido, CA 95471



95212/0100



Art Mc Nulty

(Name: Please Print)

P.O. Box 1734

(Street Address)

Guerneville, Ca. 95446

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Art Mc Nulty 

(Signature)

23 Nov 05

(Date)



Mr. Art McNulty  
 PO Box 1734  
 Guerneville, CA 95446-1734

*No amnesty for illegal aliens*



*Mr. Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
 Water Quality Assessment Unit  
 Division of Water Quality  
 State Water Resources Control Board  
 P.O. Box 100  
 Sacramento, Ca 95812-0100*

95812+0100

~~No~~  
free give-away programs for illegal alien.

Illegal aliens are costing Calif. over <sup>\$</sup>9 Billion yearly.

Enough is Enough

Eileen MALOY

(Name: Please Print)

2658 Westberry Dr

(Street Address)

Santa Rosa CA 95403

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

Eileen Maloy  
(Signature)

20 Nov. 2005

(Date)

E. Maloy  
2658 Westberry Dr.  
Santa Rosa, CA 95403



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



Michael Hartnett

(Name: Please Print)

16734 Guernewood Rd.

(Street Address)

Guerneville 95446

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,



(Signature)

11/25/05

(Date)



Mr. Michael Hartnett  
 77 Homestead Blvd  
 Mill Valley, CA 94941



Craig Wilson  
 Division of Water Quality  
 P.O. Box 100  
 Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



CHRIS MURRAY

(Name: Please Print)

P.O. BOX 624

(Street Address)

FORESTVILLE, CA. 95436

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE-OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please ~~place me on your notification list for interested parties, and~~ inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.


Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

(Signature)



11/18/2005  
(Date)



33B12/0100



ROBERT L. PEDRAZZINI  
(Name: Please Print)

445 THROCKMORTON AVE  
(Street Address)

MILL VALLEY CA 94941  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

*Pamala D Pedrazzini*

*Robert L. Pedrazzini*  
(Signature)

11-23-05  
(Date)



Robert L. Pedrazzini  
445 Throckmorton Ave.  
Mill Valley, CA 94941



CRAIG J. WILSON, CHIEF  
WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT UNIT  
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY  
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD  
P.O. BOX 100  
SACRAMENTO CA 95818-0100

95812/0100



GARY L. DOUGAN

(Name: Please Print)

17 CAPTAIN'S COVE

(Street Address)

OAKLAND, CA

(Town)

94618

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,



(Signature)


11/25/05

(Date)

Resort Property; 9098 Laurel Way  
Monte Rio, CA



Gary L. Dougan  
 17 Captains Cv  
 Oakland, CA 94618



2005 NOV 29 PM 1:54

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
 Water Quality Assessment Unit  
 Division of Water Quality  
 State Water Resources Control Board  
 P.O. Box 100  
 Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812+0100



CECILY A. DUMAS

(Name: Please Print)

15483 RIVERSIDE DR.

(Street Address)

GUERNEVILLE, CA 95446

(Town)

(Zip Code)

November

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.


Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Cecily A. Dumas  
(Signature)

11/28/05  
(Date)

*Demas*  
*15483 Riverside Dr.*  
*Guerneville, CA 95446*



2005 NOV 29 PM 1 54

*Craig J. Wilson, Chief*  
*Water Quality Assessment Unit*  
*Division of Water Quality*  
*State Water Resources Control Bd.*  
*P.O. Box 100*  
*Sacramento, CA 95812-0100*

95812+0100 

Lynn Parker  
(Name: Please Print)

824 Spencer Ave #3  
(Street Address)

Santa Rosa      95404  
(Town)                      (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11-27-05  
(Date)

DONNA HIGGINS SMITH  
(Name: Please Print)

5870 ANDERSON ROAD  
(Street Address)

FORESTVILLE / 95436  
(Town) / (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11-26-05  
(Date)

ELIZABETH L. JONES  
(Name: Please Print)

684 BENICIA DR 15  
(Street Address)

SANTA ROSA 95409  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?


I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Israel Jones Nov 27, 2005  
(Signature) (Date)

Elizabeth Jones  
684 Benicia Dr. #15  
Santa Rosa 95409



Craig J. Wilson  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



DEB ALLEN

(Name: Please Print)

P.O. Box 1114

(Street Address)

Forestville, Ca 95436

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Deborah L Allen

(Signature)

Nov 2005

(Date)

John J. Chyle

(Name: Please Print)

10705 Hwy #1

(Street Address)

Jenner,

(Town)

95450

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,



(Signature)

11-12-05

(Date)

LENA CHYLE

(Name: Please Print)

10705 HIGHWAY 1

(Street Address)

JENNER 95450

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Lena Chyle  
(Signature)

11-12-05  
(Date)

Peter & Sandy Nesteroke  
(Name: Please Print)

20290 ~~Monte Rio~~ River Blvd.  
(Street Address)

Monte Rio, CA 95462  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11/9/05  
(Date)

JOAN HOLZHAUSEN

(Name: Please Print)

11733 OAK RD

(Street Address)

FORESTVILLE, CA 95436

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

November 7, 2005  
(Date)

Amber Cartwright  
(Name: Please Print)

10450 Scenic Dr.  
(Street Address)

Forestville, CA. 95436  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.


My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

(Signature)



(Date)

11-6-05

Robert Bunker

(Name: Please Print)

8720 Marianna

(Street Address)

Forestville

(Town)

95436

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants, such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

R. Bunker

(Signature)

11-6-05

(Date)

Linda Burke

(Name: Please Print)

8739 Marianna

(Street Address)

Forestville

(Town)

95436

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Linda Burke  
(Signature)

11-4-05

(Date)

Claude Schroeder  
(Name: Please Print)

8739 Marianna Dr.  
(Street Address)

Forestville CA 95436  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
~~Division of Water Quality~~  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11-5-05  
(Date)

Karen Rasore

(Name: Please Print)

221929 Russian River Ave

(Street Address)

Villa Grande 95486

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.


Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Karen Rasore  
(Signature)

11/28/05  
(Date)

Rivore  
2192a Russian River Ave.  
Villa Grande 94986



Eugene M. Gaffney IV  
(Name: Please Print)

21713 Starrett Hill Dr.  
(Street Address)

Monte Rio      95462  
(Town)                      (Zip Code)

~~October, 2005~~

12/1/2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Eugene M. Gaffney IV  
(Signature)

12/1/05  
(Date)

**GENE**  
**GAFFNEY** INSURANCE SERVICES, INC.

PO Box 428  
Occidental, CA 95465

♻️ Printed on Recycled Paper  
Address Service Requested



1980 U.S. POSTAGE PB3557757  
78305 00.370 DEC 02 2005  
7661 MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 95465

95812X0100-00



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2005

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6th meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "*At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided.*" Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here? Please respond with the facts and figures: in Sonoma County, how many people were directly notified, how many environmental groups were contacted, what newspapers carried the notice?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

The high levels of nitrogen and phosphorus in the Laguna de Santa Rosa are a serious environmental problem that require rigorous monitoring, and those of us living near this wetland are extremely upset at your shortsighted proposal to delist it.

Sincerely,

Dee Cope, member Sebastopol Water Information Group  
(SWIG)  
Dee Cope,  
1333 Enos Avenue,  
Sebastopol, CA 95472.

E105


 Ms. Dee Cope  
 1333 Enos Ave  
 Sebastopol, CA 98472

NORTH BAY CA 949  
 PM  
 3 A  
 01 DEC  
 2005

ALWAYS  
 USE ZIP CODE  
  
 LOVE

Mr. Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
 Water Quality Assessment Unit  
 Division of Water Quality  
 State Water Resources Control Board  
 P.O. Box 100  
 Sacramento, CA. 95812-0100

95812/0100



Susan Kennedy  
(Name: Please Print)

26555 Hwy 116  
(Street Address)

Duncans Mills CA 95430  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Susan Kennedy 11/7/05  
(Signature) (Date)



Ms. Susan Kennedy  
PO Box 108  
Duncans Mills CA 95430



95412X0100-00



---

(Name: Please Print)

ANNE P. TELLER  
14805 SONOMA HWY

---

(Street Address) P.O. BOX 1009

GLEN ELLEN, CA 95442

---

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)


11/6/05  
(Date)



Craig J Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

---

(Name: Please Print)



---

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11/11/05  
(Date)

(Name: Please Print)



Mr. Arthur R. Citron  
1579 Columbus Ave.  
Burlingame, CA 94010

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.



Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

  
(Date)



Art & Arlene Citron  
1579 Columbus Ave.  
Burlingame, CA 94010-5511



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, Ca. 95812-0100

GENE & MARY GAFFNEY  
(Name: Please Print)

Box 428  
(Street Address)

OCCIDENTAL, CA 95465  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Mary Gaffney  
(Signature)

11/12/05  
(Date)

**GENE**  
**GAFFNEY** INSURANCE SERVICES, INC.

PO Box 428  
Occidental, CA 95465

♻️ Printed on Recycled Paper  
Address Service Requested



*Craig Wilson  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
State Water Resources Control Board  
Box 100  
Sacramento, CA*

795812/0100



THOMAS YARISH  
LAURÉ E. CAMPBELL  
23 NELSON AVENUE  
MILL VALLEY, CA 94941

MILL VALLEY, CA 94941

LAURÉ E. CAMPBELL  
THOMAS YARISH

(Street Address)

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?


I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

(Signature)

(Date)



11/11/05

THOMAS YARISH  
LAURÉ E. CAMPBELL  
23 NELSON AVENUE  
MILL VALLEY, CA 94941



5512/0100 

GARY BARISONE / JOANN GERVAIS  
(Name: Please Print)

14885 NORTHERN AVE

(Street Address)

GUERNEVILLE 95446

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?


I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Gary Barisone / Joann Gervais  
(Signature)

11/5/05  
(Date)



001021822



Dwight Cady  
(Name: Please Print)

1933 SWEETWATER SPRINGS  
(Street Address)

GOVERNMENTVILLE 95446  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

10/05/05  
(Date)

NORTH BAY CA 949  
PM  
2A  
14 NOV  
2005



Mary Lee Cole

(Name: Please Print)

16178 Fernway

(Street Address)

Guerneville

(Town)

95446

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?


I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Mary Lee Cole  
(Signature)

November 15, 2005  
(Date)



Mr. Craig Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Bd.  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento CA 95812-0100

95812+0100



Elizabeth Ashley

(Name: Please Print)

P.O. Box 14

(Street Address)

Kaunawa HI 96730

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Ashley  
(Signature)


11/13/05  
(Date)



Ms. Elizabeth Ashley  
PO Box 14  
Kaaawa, HI 96730

HONOLULU HI 968

14 NOV 2005 PM 2 L



Craig J. Wilson  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812+0100



Barbara Biebush  
(Name: Please Print)

1911 Knolls Drive  
(Street Address)

Santa Rosa      95405  
(Town)                      (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

Barbara Biebush  
(Signature)

November 8, 2005  
(Date)



Ms. Barbara A. Biebush  
1911 Knolls Dr  
Santa Rosa, CA 95405



Diane Cooner

(Name: Please Print)

19570 Sweetwater Springs Rd.

(Street Address)

Guerneville CA 95446

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,




(Signature)

11/14/05

(Date)



Ms. Diane C. Cooner  
P.O. Box 1259  
Guerneville, CA 95446



Ford & Thunderbird™ Fo

95412/0100



Christina Sigrid Harrison  
(Name: Please Print)

22292 Moscow Road  
(Street Address)

Duncans Mills, 95430  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.


Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11-11-05  
(Date)

P.O. 188  
95430



35812-0100-00



Gary Warden

(Name: Please Print)

465 Montgomery Road

(Street Address)

Sebastopol 95472

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Gary Warden  
(Signature)

11/11/05  
(Date)

GARY H WARDEN  
P.O. BOX 1125  
SEBASTOPOL, CA 95473



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



P. O'LEARY

(Name: Please Print)

Box 251

(Street Address)

GUERNVILLE 95446

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Patricia O'Leary

(Signature)

1 November 2005

(Date)

DENNIS T O LEARY  
PATRICIA O LEARY  
PO BOX 251  
GUERNEVILLE, CA 95446-0251



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

36810+0100-00 8002



Barbara A. Flynn  
(Name: Please Print)

8290 Appian Way  
(Street Address)

Sebastopol CA 95472-2102  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Barbara A. Flynn  
(Signature)

11-17-05  
(Date)




Ms. Barbara A. Flynn  
 8290 Appian Way  
 Sebastopol, CA 95472-2102



*Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
 Water Quality Assessment Unit  
 Div. of Water Quality  
 State Water Resources Control Bd  
 P. O. Box 100  
 Sacramento, CA 95812-0100*

95812/0100



Leslie Eskola

(Name: Please Print)

P.O. Box 2356

(Street Address)

Sebastopol, CA 95473

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Leslie Eskola

(Signature)

11/10/05

(Date)

L. Eskola  
P.O. Box 2356  
Sebastopol, CA 95473



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
State Water Resources Control Board  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

95812/0100



DB Van Winkle  
(Name: Please Print)

8650 Chalk Hill Rd  
(Street Address)

Healdsburg 95448  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

DB Van Winkle  
(Signature)

11-8-05  
(Date)



D. B. Van Winkle  
P O Box 1109  
Windsor, CA 95492



Craig J. Wilson, chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
PO Box 100  
Sacramento, CA

95812/0100

95812-0100

Donna Orłowski  
(Name: Please Print)

14250 Cherry St.  
(Street Address)

Guerneville CA 95446  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?


I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

Donna Orłowski 11-09-05  
(Signature) (Date)

D. Orłowski  
14250 cherry St.  
Guerneville CA 95446



Water Quality assessment unit  
Division of water Quality  
State water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento CA 95812-0100

95812+0100

||| c/o Craig Wilson, chief |||

DOUGLAS SHERK  
(Name: Please Print)

4676 REDWOOD RD  
(Street Address)

OAKLAND CA 94619  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.


Sincerely,

DM Sherk  
(Signature)

11/10/05  
(Date)

**EVC GROUP**

Enhanced Valuation Communications



Craig J. Wilson  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

John S. Hatfield  
(Name: Please Print)

19597 Redwood Drive  
(Street Address)

Monte Rio 95462  
(Town) (Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?


I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

John S. Hatfield  
(Signature)

10/8/05  
(Date)



95812+0100



Ralph Patricelli

(Name: Please Print)

21760 Moscow Road

(Street Address)

Monte Rio 95462

(Town)

(Zip Code)

October, 2005

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P. O. Box 100  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Dear Mr. Wilson:


I am responding to the NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS and request for public comment on the REVISION TO FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(d) LIST OF WATER QUALITY LIMITED SEGMENTS FOR CALIFORNIA. I strongly oppose the delisting of the Laguna de Santa Rosa for Nitrogen and Phosphorus. Please place me on your notification list for interested parties, and inform your Board about my correspondence on this matter. I would also like to receive the notice for the December 6<sup>th</sup> meeting as soon as possible.

My first concern is related to the lack of public process at the local level. I understand that the Water Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Section 6.2, requires, "At a public hearing, the RWQCB shall consider and approve each proposed list change as documented in water body fact sheet. Advance notice and opportunity for public comment shall be provided." Why is the only hearing taking place in Sacramento? Elimination of Regional Board hearings in Santa Rosa has in effect deprived many Sonoma County residents the opportunity to give first hand accounts of the many Laguna problems. Furthermore, I would like to know how many people in Sonoma County received the Public Notice about the Sacramento hearing in December on this issue? How was your meeting publicized here?

I am deeply concerned about the infestation of the Laguna de Santa Rosa by exotic plants such as Ludwigia, which is multiplying at such a prodigious rate that a very controversial chemical eradication program was initiated recently at a cost of \$1.5 million. There is evidence that these plants provide habitat for mosquitoes, feared to be spreading West Nile virus, as well as degrading fish habitat. The eradication program is limited to only the two worst sections of the infestation, and if nutrients continue to be discharged, the situation could get much worse.


Thank you for your consideration. Please do not delist the Laguna for nutrients.

Sincerely,

  
(Signature)

11/7/05  
(Date)

Patricelli  
21760 Moscow Rd  
Monte Rio CA 95462



Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Water Quality Assessment Unit  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 100  
Sacramento CA 95812-0100

95812-0100 